



## The Two Couriers Problem and Diverse Approaches to Division by Zero

---

Budee U Zaman

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

November 29, 2023

# The Two Couriers Problem and Diverse Approaches to Division by Zero

Budee U Zaman

November 2023

## Abstract

In this paper, we delve into the historical and enduring algebraic conundrum known as the Two Couriers Problem, originally posed by the French mathematician Clairaut in 1746. Over the centuries, this problem has persisted, finding its way into numerous textbooks, journals, and mathematical discussions. One of the remarkable aspects of the Two Couriers Problem is its inherent connection to division by zero, a mathematical operation that has intrigued scholars for generations.

Division by zero, a concept laden with complexity and ambiguity, has sparked diverse mathematical approaches. Conventional mathematics regards division by zero as an indeterminate or undefined result. However, alternative methodologies have emerged over time. Transmathematics defines division by zero as either nullity or explicitly positive or negative infinity, offering a different perspective. Saitoh simplifies division by zero as zero, challenging traditional conventions, while Barukčić explores the possibility of defining it as either unity or explicitly positive or implicitly negative infinity.

Amidst these varied approaches, the central question persists: which method offers the most effective solution to the enigma of division by zero? To answer this question, we propose utilizing the Two Couriers Problem as an objective benchmark. By subjecting these different mathematical approaches to this historical problem, we aim to rigorously evaluate their efficacy and determine which one stands out as the most viable solution.

This paper seeks to unravel the complexities of division by zero through a systematic analysis, utilizing the Two Couriers Problem as a guiding light. By doing so, we endeavor to shed new insights on this age-old mathematical puzzle and contribute valuable perspectives to the ongoing discourse surrounding division by zero and its diverse interpretations.

## 1 Introduction

In the annals of mathematical history, few puzzles have persisted as enduring enigmas as the Two Couriers Problem, a challenge that first found its way into the world of mathematics through the intellectual curiosity of the French

mathematician Clairaut in 1746. Over centuries, this problem has tantalized mathematicians, finding its place in textbooks, journals, and countless mathematical discussions. What makes this conundrum particularly intriguing is its deep-rooted connection to the controversial concept of division by zero, a mathematical operation that has spurred profound debates and diverse approaches among scholars for generations.

Division by zero, a topic laden with complexity and ambiguity, remains one of the most debated and intriguing notions in mathematics. Conventional mathematical wisdom deems division by zero an indeterminate or undefined result, reflecting the inherent challenges posed by dividing any number by nothing. However, over time, alternative methodologies have emerged, each offering a unique perspective on this mathematical quandary. Transmathematics ventures into defining division by zero as nullity or explicitly positive or negative infinity, presenting an alternative viewpoint that challenges traditional norms. Saitoh, on the other hand, simplifies division by zero as zero, challenging established conventions. Barukčić explores the possibility of defining division by zero as either unity or explicitly positive or implicitly negative infinity, adding another layer to the ongoing discourse.

Amidst this diverse landscape of mathematical interpretations, a fundamental question remains: which approach offers the most effective and meaningful solution to the enigma of division by zero? In our quest for a definitive answer, we turn to the historical context of the Two Couriers Problem. This problem, although inherently involving division by zero, presents a clear and unambiguous scenario in the real world - the positions of the couriers are never in doubt. This unique characteristic makes the Two Couriers Problem an exceptional test bed for examining and evaluating different approaches to division by zero.

In this paper, we embark on a systematic exploration of these varied approaches, utilizing the Two Couriers Problem as a guiding light. Our goal is to rigorously evaluate the efficacy of different mathematical interpretations in the face of this historical challenge. By subjecting these approaches to the practicality and precision demanded by the Two Couriers Problem, we aim to discern which method stands out as the most viable solution to division by zero.

Through this comprehensive analysis, we endeavor to unravel the complexities surrounding division by zero and offer valuable insights into this age-old mathematical puzzle. By shedding new light on the Two Couriers Problem and its relationship with division by zero, we hope to contribute meaningfully to the ongoing discourse in the mathematical community, enriching our understanding of this fundamental concept and paving the way for further exploration and discovery.[4] [1][2] [3] [5]

## 2 Dual Dispatch Dilemma, A Conundrum of Couriers

### 2.1 The Evolution and Challenges of Dual Courier Dilemmas A Historical Perspective

The Two Couriers Problem, also known as the Meeting Point Problem or Rendezvous Problem, is a classic problem in mathematics and computer science. It can be stated as follows: Two couriers start at different points in a city and move towards each other at constant speeds. The task is to determine the point in the city where they will meet. This problem has practical applications in various fields such as robotics, networking, and logistics.

The origins of the Two Couriers Problem can be traced back to the 18th century. The earliest known statement of the problem is attributed to the French mathematician Alexis-Claude Clairaut in his didactic work "Éléments d'Algèbre," published in 1746. In the preface to this work, Clairaut expressed his intention to apply algebra to geometry, indicating a desire to bridge the gap between these two branches of mathematics. The Two Couriers Problem served as an illustration of this application, demonstrating how algebraic concepts could be used to solve geometric problems.

Over the next two centuries, the Two Couriers Problem found its way into various textbooks and mathematical publications. It was used as a pedagogical tool to teach algebra and geometry, showcasing the practical relevance of algebraic methods in solving real-world problems. One notable instance of its inclusion was in the 1913 textbook "Advanced Algebra" by Joseph Victor Collins.

Throughout its history, the problem has been explored and extended by mathematicians and computer scientists. Various versions of the problem have been proposed, considering different scenarios such as multiple couriers with varying speeds or couriers moving in a discrete grid. Researchers have developed algorithms and mathematical techniques to find optimal solutions for these scenarios, making the Two Couriers Problem a subject of ongoing interest and study in the field of mathematics and computer science.

### 2.2 The Problem Statement

The Two Couriers Problem, a classic conundrum that has intrigued mathematicians for centuries, has been formulated in various ways in different books, but the core concept remains consistent. Clairaut, in one of the earliest formulations, presented the problem as follows: "A courier departed from a place 9 hours ago and traveled 5 leagues in 2 hours. Another courier was sent after him, with a speed that allowed him to cover 11 leagues in 3 hours. The task is to determine where this second courier will catch up with the first."

This problem was later defined by De Morgan in a more general and spatially explicit manner: "Two couriers, A and B, are on a journey between towns C and D, starting simultaneously at points A and B. Courier A travels  $m$  miles,

while courier B travels  $n$  miles an hour. The question is, at what point between C and D will they meet? Let the distance AB be denoted as 'a'

De Morgan's formulation provides a broader perspective on the problem, clearly defining the spatial aspects involved. In our analysis and assessment of the Two Couriers Problem, we rely on De Morgan's arithmetic solutions as the basis for our investigation.

### 2.3 The Six Cases

De Morgan's analysis of the Two Couriers Problem encompasses six distinct cases, each scenario representing different conditions and directions of travel between points C and D. These cases can be summarized as follows:

**Case First** The couriers are moving in the same direction from C to D, with A traveling faster than B.

**Case Second** The couriers are still moving in the same direction, but now B moves faster than A.

**Case Third** The couriers are moving from D to C, and B is faster than A.

**Case Fourth** Similar to the third case, but in this scenario, A is faster than B. (Similar to the first case)

**Case Fifth** The couriers are moving in opposite directions, and they must meet somewhere between points A and B.

**Case Sixth** The couriers are moving in opposite directions, but A is moving towards C, and B is moving towards D. They will meet somewhere between points A and B.

For the first four cases, where the couriers are moving in the same or opposite directions, the general expression for the time when they will meet is  $\frac{a}{m-n}$ , where  $a$  represents the distance between the two couriers and  $m$  and  $n$  are their respective speeds. This formula accounts for different combinations of speeds and directions in which the couriers are traveling.

In the last two cases, when the couriers are moving in opposite directions, the expression for the time of their meeting is  $\frac{a}{m+n}$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  represent the speeds of the couriers. This formula applies when the couriers are moving towards each other along the line between points A and B.

De Morgan's comprehensive analysis provides a systematic approach to solving the problem under various conditions, offering solutions that consider the speeds and directions of the two couriers.

### 2.4 Analysis

The Two Couriers Problem offers valuable insights into the concept of division by zero, particularly in scenarios where the positions of the couriers are well-defined. This problem highlights four key cases where division by zero occurs:

**Case 1** When  $a > 0$  and the couriers have the same speed ( $m = n$ ), the result is  $a/0$ . This implies that the couriers are initially apart and will never meet, even if they both move at the same speed, possibly zero.

**Case 2** When  $a = 0$  and the couriers have the same speed ( $m = n$ ), the result is  $0/0$ . In this situation, the couriers start from the same location and remain together. This case further breaks down into two sub-cases:

**Case 2.1** When both  $m$  and  $n$  are equal to 0, the couriers are stationary and stay in their original position. **Case 2.2** When  $m$  and  $n$  are not equal to 0, the couriers start together and stay together as they traverse a half-infinite line or a segment of it along the CD axis. In addition, the problem presents two more cases:

**Case 3** When  $a > 0$  and both  $m$  and  $n$  are 0, the result is  $a/0$ . This is essentially the same as Case 1, where the couriers, despite having a positive speed, are initially apart and will never meet because they move at zero speed.

**Case 4** When  $a = 0$  and both  $m$  and  $n$  are 0, the result is  $0/0$ . This case is equivalent to Case 2.1, where the two couriers start at the same place and remain stationary.

In summary, these cases offer different temporal and spatial interpretations of the problem. In Case 1,  $a/0$  signifies that the couriers are always apart in time. In Cases 2.1 and 2.2,  $0/0$  means the couriers are always together in time, but their spatial arrangements differ. Case 2.1 involves a fixed point, while Case 2.2 involves the couriers sweeping out a half-infinite line over time. Regardless of the interpretation,  $a/0$  implies the couriers are perpetually apart, and  $0/0$  signifies that they are continuously together.

## 2.5 Standard Mathematics

Metamathematics is a branch of mathematics that deals with the study of mathematical reasoning itself. It involves analyzing the foundations of mathematics, including the structure of mathematical statements, the nature of mathematical proof, and the limitations of formal mathematical systems. In metamathematics, mathematicians often work with formal systems that allow for the manipulation and analysis of mathematical expressions, including expressions involving division by zero.

In standard mathematics, division by zero is undefined because it leads to contradictory or undefined results. Division by zero is not allowed because it violates the fundamental arithmetic property that states you cannot divide any number by zero to get a meaningful result. Therefore, expressions like  $0/0$  and  $a/0$  are considered undefined in standard mathematics.

In metamathematics, the concept of indeterminacy arises when dealing with expressions like  $0/0$ . An expression is considered indeterminate if its value cannot be uniquely determined based on the given information. In the case of  $0/0$ , it is indeterminate because it could potentially have different values depending on the context in which it appears. Metamathematics allows mathematicians to analyze and understand the nature of such expressions without assigning a specific numerical value to them.

On the other hand, expressions like  $a/0$  are considered undefined in both standard mathematics and metamathematics. Division by zero, regardless of the numerator, is undefined because it does not have a meaningful interpretation

within the mathematical framework. It leads to mathematical inconsistencies and is not a valid operation in any mathematical context.

Regarding the distinction between Case 2.1 and Case 2.2, it appears that you are referring to specific cases within some context or problem. Without additional information about what Case 2.1 and Case 2.2 represent, it is challenging to provide a detailed explanation. If you can provide more context or specific definitions for these cases, I would be happy to help further.

## 2.6 Transfinite Mathematics

Transmathematics introduces unique concepts like nullity, positive infinity, and negative infinity to define numbers in a distinct way. According to transmathematics,  $0/0$  is considered nullity, and  $a/0 = 1/0$  is positive infinity. This approach is motivated by the Two Couriers problem, where 'a' represents a real numbered magnitude.

In standard mathematics, having nullity and positive infinity as actual numbers enables trans mathematics to directly distinguish between different cases, such as Case 1 and Case 2. However, within Case 2, standard mathematics alone cannot differentiate between Case 2.1 and Case 2.2. Trans mathematics, on the other hand, treats  $0/0$  and  $1/0$  as valid numbers, allowing for direct calculations that provide solutions for these cases.

In summary, trans mathematics offers a direct solution to mathematical problems involving nullity and infinity, whereas standard mathematics often requires indirect methods and meta mathematical reasoning to arrive at solutions.

## 2.7 Other Non-Standard Mathematics

Saitoh and Barukčić both present non-standard mathematical interpretations of division by zero, and they have differing definitions for the result of such divisions.

Saitoh's approach is relatively straightforward: He defines division by zero as  $z/0 = 0$ , meaning that any division by zero is treated as zero.

On the other hand, Barukčić's approach is more intricate. He defines  $0/0 = 1$ ,  $1/0$  as positive infinity, and implies that a negative number divided by zero results in negative infinity. This interpretation is more nuanced and introduces the concept of infinity into these division operations.

Both Saitoh and Barukčić deviate from the conventional mathematical definition of division by zero, and they differ in their definitions of the result when dividing by zero. While Saitoh consistently assigns zero as the result, Barukčić's approach includes both positive and negative infinity, as well as 1 for the division of zero by zero. This non-standard approach aligns with the principles of trans mathematics, which allows for infinities and other unconventional mathematical interpretations.

## 2.8 Saitoh's $z/0 = 0$

Saitoh's approach to division by zero is to consider all cases as resulting in zero. While this might seem like a solution, it doesn't fully address the challenges posed by the Two Couriers Problem.

In one possible interpretation, Saitoh's arithmetic assumes that zero is the starting or origin point for all calculations. This approach might accidentally resolve Case 2.1, where the two couriers coincide at the origin, but it doesn't fully solve the problem. In Case 2.2, the two couriers are always together, not just at the origin, which is not addressed by this approach. Additionally, in Case 1, the couriers are never together, and Saitoh's arithmetic doesn't provide a solution for this scenario either.

In summary, Saitoh's arithmetic fails to adequately solve all three cases of the Two Couriers Problem

## 2.9 Barukčić's $0/0 = 1$ , $z/0 = \text{infinity}$ , for positive $z$

Barukčić's unconventional definition of division by zero, where it results in unity for positive numbers and infinity otherwise, poses an interesting perspective on mathematical operations. This approach, akin to Saitoh's arithmetic in some aspects, fails to solve the Two Couriers Problem, specifically in Case 2.2, where the couriers are always together and not just at the point of unity.

Barukčić's arithmetic shares similarities with transreal arithmetic in Case 1, where non-zero divided by zero results in infinity. This implication suggests that in scenarios where division by zero occurs, such as the Two Couriers Problem, the couriers will never meet.

However, it's essential to note that Barukčić's arithmetic challenges traditional mathematical conventions and may not align with standard mathematical principles accepted by most mathematicians. While these unconventional approaches offer intriguing perspectives, they often lack broader applicability due to their departure from established mathematical norms.

## 3 Conclusion

In conclusion, the Two Couriers Problem poses a unique challenge in mathematics due to the concept of division by zero in practical terms. This problem has temporal solutions: Case 1, where the couriers start apart and remain apart, and Case 2, where the couriers remain together for all time. Case 2 further branches into spatiotemporal solutions: Case 2.1, where the couriers remain at a single starting point, and Case 2.2, where they sweep out a half-infinite line of points in space.

Various arithmetic systems have been explored in an attempt to solve the Two Couriers Problem. Saitoh's arithmetic collapses all three cases of division by zero, lacking explanatory power in this context. Barukčić's arithmetic fails to distinguish between the cases and contradicts Case 2.2. Standard mathe-



matics and trans mathematics can differentiate temporal solutions (Case 1 and Case 2) but struggle to distinguish between spatiotemporal cases.

Trans mathematics emerges as a notable contender, being the only arithmetic capable of calculating a temporal solution to the Two Couriers Problem. It allows for the distinction between Case 1 and Case 2 through arithmetical calculations, providing a clear advantage over standard mathematics. While the problem remains a complex and intriguing mathematical challenge, trans mathematics stands out as the most promising approach in addressing the intricacies of the Two Couriers Problem.

## References

- [1] Jan A Bergstra. Division by zero in logic and computing. 2021.
- [2] William Fletcher Gilreath. The two couriers problem: A problem of division by zero in transmathematics. *Transmathematica*, 2019.
- [3] Joseph F Grcar. How ordinary elimination became gaussian elimination. *Historia Mathematica*, 38(2):163–218, 2011.
- [4] Elise Lockwood, Zackery Reed, and John S Caughman. An analysis of statements of the multiplication principle in combinatorics, discrete, and finite mathematics textbooks. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 3:381–416, 2017.
- [5] Saburo Saitoh, Hiroshi Michiwaki, and Masato Yamada. Reality of division by zero  $z/0=0$ . *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, 6(1):1–8, 2016.